





2020 G20 Riyadh Summit Interim Compliance Report

Prepared by

Kaylin Dawe, Mary Noh and the G20 Research Group
University of Toronto
Toronto
and

Alexander Ignatov and the Center for International Institutions Research Russian Presidential Academy of National Economy and Public Administration, Moscow

From 23 November 2020 to 22 May 2021

29 July 2021

Feedback, as always, is welcome and is kept anonymous. We encourage readers to send comments to G20@utoronto.ca

Contents

Preface	3
Research Teams	4
Introduction and Summary	6
Methodology and Scoring System	6
Commitment Breakdown	6
Selection of Commitments	6
Interim Compliance Scores	
Interim Compliance by Member	7
Interim Compliance by Commitment	7
Table 1: 2020 G20 Riyadh Summit Commitments Selected for Compliance Monitoring	8
Table 2: 2020 G20 Riyadh Summit Interim Compliance Scores	
Table 3: 2020 G20 Riyadh Summit Interim Compliance by Member	
Table 4: 2020 G20 Riyadh Summit Interim Compliance by Commitment	12
Table 5: G20 Compliance by Member, 2008-2020	13
Conclusions	14
Future Research and Reports	14
Considerations and Limitations	
Appendix: General Considerations	15
1. Macroeconomic Policy: Inclusive Growth	16
2. Macroeconomics: Capital Markets	
3. Trade: Investment	
4. Trade: Open Markets	173
5. Digital Economy: Consumer Rights	189
6. International Taxation: Tax Systems	221
7. International Taxation: BEPS	254
8. Crime and Corruption: Threats	267
9. Labour and Employment: Job Protection	293
10. Gender: Inequalities	319
11. Gender: Economic Participation	406
12. Development: Debt Relief	462
13. Development: COVID-19	
14. Health Preparedness and Response	521
15. Health: Information Sharing	624
16. Health: Vaccine Distribution	660
17. Energy: Fossil Fuels	683
18. Environment: Marine Plastic Litter	
19. Climate Change: Circular Carbon Economy	728
20 Climate Change: Paris Agreement	790

Preface

Since the G20 leaders met at their first summit in 2008 in Washington, the G20 Research Group at the University of Toronto and the Center for International Institutions Research of the Russian Presidential Academy of National Economy and Public Administration (RANEPA), and formerly with the International Organizations Research Institute at the National Research University Higher School of Economics (HSE), in Moscow have produced reports on their progress in implementing the priority commitments made at each summit. These reports monitor each G20 member's efforts to implement a carefully chosen selection of the many commitments produced at each summit. The reports are offered to the general public and to policy makers, academics, civil society, the media and interested citizens around the world in an effort to make the work of the G20 more transparent, accessible and effective, and to provide scientific data to enable the meaningful analysis of the causes of compliance, including those that leaders can change to improve compliance and the impact of this important informal international institution. Previous reports are available at the G20 Information Centre at http://www.g20.utoronto.ca/analysis.

The G20 Research Group has been working with Marina Larionova's team at RANEPA and previously at HSE since initiating this G20 compliance research in 2009, after the Washington Summit in November 2008. The initial report, covering only one commitment made at that summit, tested the compliance methodology developed by the G8 Research Group and adapted it to the G20.

To make its assessments, the G20 Research Group relies on publicly available information, documentation and media reports. To ensure accuracy, comprehensiveness and integrity, we encourage comments from stakeholders. Indeed, scores can be recalibrated if new material becomes available. All feedback remains anonymous. Responsibility for the contents of this report lies exclusively with the authors and analysts of the G20 Research Group.

Professor John Kirton Director, G20 Research Group

Research Teams

Toronto G20 Research Group Team

Professor John Kirton, Jenna Im, Compliance Natasha Pirzada, Director Director Compliance Director Kaylin Dawe, Co-chair, Wenny (Yiyao) Jin, Diego Vásquez, Summit Studies Compliance Director Compliance Director Nammal Khan, Compliance Jennifer Miao Wang, Jae Yoon Mary Noh, Cochair, Summit Studies Director Compliance Director Sonia Dobson, Editor Matthew Kieffer, Brandon Yih, Compliance

Alyssa Atef, Compliance Compliance Director Director

Director Malhaar Moharir, Gabriella Shiyuan Zhao, Angela Min Yi Hou, Compliance Director Compliance Director

G20 Research Group Lead Analysts

Omar Abdellatif Ilan Hughes Quinn Teague-Colfer

Miranda Bocci Omar Kassam Ingrid Wong

Nour Elassiuty Samantha Moura Novais de Charlie Lecheng Zeng

Joy Fan Quadros Meixi Zhang

Chan Wai Suet Gi Gi Srijan Sahu

G20 Research Group Analysts

Rana Al-Fayez Bubneet Dhillon Jennifer Lam Meryem Althani Ana Djordjijevic Elizabeth Lerman Diana Anton Nouran El Atreby Isabella Li Santiago Ardisson Jad El Ghali Andy Lin Nika Asgari Arun Eswaran Dong (Delia) Liu Yasmeen Atassi Sahar Fatima Jenny Liu Zivi Liu Para Babuharan Sharif Fatourehchi Ema Marcheska Leena Badri Iulia Gavieta Yuchen Bai Sydney Grad Erica Matsui Rawan Barakat Darwin Gumba Beatrice McLeod Matthew Barrett Kate Haberl Lougein Metwally Desbasmita Bhattacharya Xin Han Dalia Michaud Alisha Bhimji Phoebe Han Arina Mnatsakanyan Annabelle Bourzeix Neeharika Hemrajani Samar Moghal Matthew Brubacher Azana Hyder Amanda Morana Shanice Burton Minahil Iftikhar YanYui Mork

Laura Bustos Ujala Iqbal Sara Mostafa Faadil Butt Anna Ivanova Shereen Marouf Mourad Joy Chan Jessica Johnson Tourang Movahedi Mehr Mukhtar Rebecca Jones Haobin Chen Tammy Cheng Shafna Kallil Delaney Murphy Arees Choolijan Diya Kumar Katra Ishita Nagar Luisa Cortat Burhan Anees Khan Shalin Nayak Simonetti Goncalves Muhammad Hamza Khan Aline Navir Saarah Khan Dre Daley Veronique Nuqui Rahul Pallan Omar Danaf Olivia Klasios Claire Parish Ova Darici Signe Kossmann

Ghazaleh Darini Jonathan Ku AnnaMaria Pavlopoulos

Thérèse Perucho Bianca Piccone Abigail Potter Surabhi Pradhan Zhuojia Qiu Darya Rahbar Warisa Rahman Chan-Min Roh Tamara Saadi Amandeep Saini Aisha Shafaqat Keah Sharma Simren Ravina Sharma Aimaan Shergill Hayley Spenst
Hannah Story-Korman
Dimitris Symeonidis
Dorottya Szekely
Brett Szmul
Vlada Taits
Derek Thiele
Aadi Thulasingam
Alexander Trachsell
Wing Ka Tsang
Ethan Ullmann
Jeffrey Vandespyker
Karči Aldridge Végi

Veniamin Veselovsky Amanda Wang Linda Wang Tianyang (Victoria) Wang Angelo Wijaya Nathan Ye June Young Lee Rachel Zack Aida Zarghami Liva Zemitis Jing sen Zhang Tony Zhao

CIIR G20 Research Team

Marina Larionova, Director Alexander Ignatov Irina Popova Andrei Sakharov Andrey Shelepov Sergei Vasilkovsky Pavel Doronin Anastasiya Kirillova

Introduction and Summary

The G20 2020 Riyadh Interim Compliance Report is prepared by the G20 Research Group based at the University of Toronto and its Russian partner at the Center for International Institutional Research of the Russian Presidential Academy of National Economy and Public Administration (RANEPA). The report analyzes compliance by G20 members with 20 priority commitments carefully selected from the total of 107 commitments made at the Riyadh Summit hosted by Saudi Arabia on 21–22 November 2020. This interim report covers relevant actions taken by the G20 members between 23 November 2020 to 22 May 2021. The final report, which will cover the full period between the 2020 and the 2021 summits, will be released on the eve of the Rome Summit that Italy will host on 30–31 October 2021.

Methodology and Scoring System

This report draws on the methodology developed by the G7 Research Group, which has been monitoring G7/8 compliance since 1996. The International Organisations Research Institute at the Higher School of Economics joined this multi-year project in 2005, followed by RANEPA. The use of this methodology builds cross-institutional and cross-member consistency and also allows compatibility with compliance assessments of other institutions.

The methodology uses a scale from -1 to +1, where +1 indicates full compliance with the stated commitment, -1 indicates a failure to comply or action taken that is directly opposite to the stated instruments or goal of the commitment, and 0 indicates partial compliance or work in progress, such as initiatives that have been launched but are not yet near completion and whose full results can therefore not be assessed. Each member assessed receives a score of -1, 0 or +1 for each commitment. For convenience, the scores in the tables have been converted to percentages, where -1 equals 0 per cent and +1 equals 100 per cent.1

A compliance score of -1 does not necessarily imply an unwillingness to comply on the part of G20 members. In some cases, policy actions can take multiple compliance cycles to implement and measure. As the G20 Research Group and RANEPA continue to monitor developments, progress made by members can be recorded in future compliance reports.

The Compliance Coding Manual that describes the methodology in detail is available on the G20 Information Centre website at http://www.g20.utoronto.ca/analysis/index.html#method.

Commitment Breakdown

The G20 made a total of 107 commitments at the Riyadh Summit.² These commitments, as identified by the G20 Research Group and RANEPA, are drawn from the official Riyadh Leaders' Declaration.

Selection of Commitments

.

For each compliance cycle (that is, the period between summits), the research team selects commitments that reflect the breadth of the G20 agenda and also reflect the priorities of the summit's host, while balancing the selection to allow for comparison with past and future summits, following the methodology developed by the G7 Research Group. The selection also replicates the breakdown of issue areas and the proportion of commitments in each one. Primary criteria for priority commitment selection are the comprehensiveness and relevance to the summit, the G20 and the world, as well as individual and collective pledges. Selected commitments must also meet secondary criteria of performance measurability and ability to comply to some degree within a year,

¹ The formula to convert a score into a percentage is $P = 50 \times (S + 1)$, where P is the percentage and S is the score

² A commitment is defined as a discrete, specific, publicly expressed, collectively agreed statement of intent; a promise by summit members that they will undertake future action to move toward, meet or adjust to an identified target. More details are contained in the Reference Manual for Summit Commitment and Compliance Coding).

as well as the tertiary criterion of significance as identified by scientific teams and relevant stakeholders in the host country.

For the 2020 Riyadh Interim Compliance Report, 20 priority commitments were selected for assessment by the University of Toronto and the RANEPA teams (see Table 1).

Interim Compliance Scores

This assessment is based on relevant, publicly available information relating to actions taken from 23 November 2020 to 22 May 2021. The interim compliance scores by commitment are contained in Table 2. Country rankings are listed in Table 3 and commitment rankings are listed in Table 4.

For the full interim period, including all 20 commitments, G20 members achieved average compliance of +0.50 (75%).

Interim Compliance by Member

For interim compliance with the Riyadh Summit's priority commitments, Germany had the highest compliance at +0.95 (97%), followed by the European Union and the United Kingdom at +0.85 (93%), and then France at +0.79 (89%). Host Italy ranked eighth at +0.53 (76%) and Riyadh's host, Saudi Arabia, ranked 17th at +0.35 (68%). South Africa and India tied for the lowest compliance score, both at +0.05 (53%). The difference between the highest and lowest G20 member compliance scores is 0.90. All the scores by G20 members are listed in Table 3.

Interim Compliance by Commitment

The climate change commitment on the Paris Agreement ranked first at +0.90 (95%), followed by the macroeconomics commitment on inclusive growth at +0.85 (93%), and the health commitment on preparedness and response at +0.80 (90%). The lowest compliance was two commitments tied at +0.10 (55%): one on fossil fuels and one on marine plastic litter commitments. All the scores by commitment are listed in Table 4.

Table 1: 2020 G20 Riyadh Summit Commitments Selected for Compliance Monitoring

	•	Law in
1	Macroeconomics: Inclusive Growth	"We will continue our efforts to reduce inequalities reaffirming our previous commitments to promote inclusive growth." (G20 Riyadh Leaders' Declaration)
2	Macroeconomics: Capital Markets	"We will strengthen long-term financial resilience and support growth, including through promoting sustainable capital flows and developing domestic capital markets." (G20 Riyadh Leaders' Declaration)
3	Trade: Investment	"We strive to realize the goal of a free, fair, inclusive, non- discriminatory, transparent, predictable, and stable trade and investment environment." (G20 Riyadh Leaders' Declaration)
4	Trade: Open Markets	"[We strive to]keep our markets open." (G20 Riyadh Leaders' Declaration)
5	Digital Economy: Consumer Rights	"We support fostering an open, fair, and non-discriminatory environment, and protecting and empowering consumers, while addressing the challenges related to privacy, data protection, intellectual property rights, and security." (G20 Riyadh Leaders' Declaration)
6	International Taxation: Tax Systems	"We will continue our cooperation for a globally fair, sustainable, and modern international tax system." (G20 Riyadh Leaders' Declaration)
7	International Taxation: BEPS	"We remain committed to further progress on both pillars and urge the G20/OECD Inclusive Framework on BEPS to address the remaining issues with a view to reaching a global and consensus- based solution by mid-2021." (G20 Riyadh Leaders' Declaration)
8	Crime and Corruption: Threats	"We reiterate our strong commitment to tackle all sources, techniques and channels of these threats." (G20 Riyadh Leaders' Declaration)
9	Labour and Employment: Job Protection	"We will continue to support workers through training and reskilling policies." (G20 Riyadh Leaders' Declaration)
10	Gender: Inequalities	"As many women have been disproportionately affected by the crisis, we will work to ensure that the pandemic does not widen gender inequalities and undermine the progress made in recent decades." (G20 Riyadh Leaders' Declaration)
11	Gender: Economic Participation and Entrepreneurship	"We will take steps to remove the barriers to women's economic participation and entrepreneurship." (G20 Riyadh Leaders' Declaration)
12	Development: Debt Relief	"We are committed to implementing the Debt Service Suspension Initiative (DSSI) including its extension through June 2021, allowing DSSI-eligible countries to suspend official bilateral debt service payments." (G20 Riyadh Leaders' Declaration)
13	Development: COVID-19	"We remain determined to support all developing and least developed countries as they face the intertwined health, economic, and social effects of COVID-19, recognizing the specific challenges in Africa and small island developing states." (G20 Riyadh Leaders' Declaration)
14	Health: Preparedness and Response	"We commit to advancing global pandemic preparedness, prevention, detection, and response." (G20 Riyadh Leaders' Declaration)

15	Health: Information	"[We reaffirm our commitment to] the continued sharing of timely,
	Sharing	transparent, and standardized data and information [IHR]." (G20 Riyadh Leaders' Declaration)
16	Health: Vaccine Distribution	"We will spare no effort to ensure their [vaccines] affordable and equitable access for all people, consistent with members' commitments to incentivize innovation. In this regard, we fully support all collaborative efforts, especially the Access to COVID-19 Tools Accelerator (ACT-A) initiative and its COVAX facility, and the voluntary licensing of intellectual property." (G20 Riyadh Leaders' Declaration)
17	Energy: Fossil Fuels	"We reaffirm our joint commitment on medium term rationalization and phasing-out of inefficient fossil fuel subsidies that encourage wasteful consumption, while providing targeted support for the poorest." (G20 Riyadh Leaders' Declaration)
18	Environment: Marine Plastic Litter	"We reaffirm our commitment to reduce additional pollution by marine plastic litter, as articulated by the Osaka Blue Ocean Vision." (G20 Riyadh Leaders' Declaration)
19	Climate Change: Circular Carbon Economy	"We endorse the Circular Carbon Economy (CCE) Platform, with its 4Rs framework (Reduce, Reuse, Recycle and Remove), recognizing the key importance and ambition of reducing emissions, taking into account system efficiency and national circumstances." (G20 Riyadh Leaders' Declaration)
20	Climate Change: Paris Agreement	"Signatories to the Paris Agreement who confirmed at Osaka their determination to implement it, once again, reaffirm their commitment to its full implementation, reflecting common but differentiated responsibilities and respective capabilities, in the light of different national circumstances." (G20 Environment Ministers Meeting)

Table 2: 2020 G20 Riyadh Summit Interim Compliance Scores

$\overline{}$						_																	
		Argentina	Australia	Brazil	Canada	China	France	Germany	India	Indonesia	Italy]арап	Korea	Mexico	Russia	Saudi Arabia	South Africa	Turkey	United Kingdom	United States	European Union	Ave	erage
1	Macroeconomics: Inclusive Growth	+1	+1	+1	+1	+1	+1	+1	+1	0	+1	+1	+1	+1	0	0	+1	+1	+1	+1	+1	+0.85	93%
2	Macroeconomics: Capital Markets	+1	0	+1	+1	+1	0	+1	-1	+1	+1	+1	+1	+1	+1	+1	0	+1	+1	+1	+1	+0.75	88%
3	Trade: Investment	+1	+1	0	0	+1	+1	+1	+1	+1	0	+1	0	0	+1	0	0	0	+1	0	+1	+0.55	78%
4	Trade: Open Markets	0	0	0	-1	0	n/a	n/a	0	+1	n/a	0	+1	0	+1	0	0	0	0	0	0	+0.12	56%
5	Digital Economy: Consumer Rights	+1	0	+1	+1	0	+1	+1	0	+1	+1	+1	+1	+1	+1	+1	0	+1	+1	0	0	+0.70	85%
6	International Taxation: Tax Systems	0	+1	+1	0	0	+1	+1	+1	+1	+1	0	0	-1	+1	+1	0	0	+1	0	+1	+0.50	75%
7	International Taxation: BEPS	0	-1	+1	+1	0	+1	+1	-1	+1	+1	+1	+1	0	0	0	-1	-1	+1	+1	+1	+0.35	68%
8	Crime and Corruption: Threats	-1	+1	+1	+1	+1	+1	+1	0	+1	-1	0	0	0	0	0	-1	+1	+1	+1	+1	+0.40	70%
9	Labour and Employment: Job Protection	-1	0	0	+1	0	+1	+1	-1	+1	+1	+1	+1	-1	-1	+1	0	0	+1	+1	+1	+0.35	68%
	Gender: Inequalities	+1	+1	+1	+1	-1	+1	+1	0	+1	+1	+1	+1	+1	0	+1	0	0	+1	0	+1	+0.65	83%
11	Gender: Economic Participation	+1	0	+1	+1	0	0	+1	0	+1	0	0	+1	+1	0	0	0	0	+1	+1	+1	+0.50	75%
	Development: Debt Relief	0	0	0	+1	0	+1	+1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	+1	+0.20	60%
	Development: COVID-19	+1	+1	0	+1	+1	+1	+1	0	-1	+1	+1	+1	0	+1	+1	-1	+1	+1	+1	+1	+0.65	83%
	Health: Preparedness and Response	+1	+1	+1	+1	+1	+1	+1	0	+1	0	+1	+1	+1	0	+1	+1	0	+1	+1	+1	+0.80	90%
15	Health: Information Sharing	0	0	0	0	-1	+1	+1	+1	0	+1	+1	+1	+1	0	0	+1	+1	+1	0	+1	+0.50	75%
	Health: Vaccine Distribution	0	+1	0	+1	0	+1	+1	0	0	+1	+1	+1	0	+1	0	0	+1	+1	+1	+1	+0.60	80%
17	Energy: Fossil Fuels	-1	-1	0	+1	+1	-1	+1	0	+1	+1	0	+1	-1	0	-1	0	0	+1	-1	+1	+0.10	55%
18	Environment:	+1	+1	0	0	+1	+1	+1	0	-1	-1	0	-1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	+0.10	55%

		Argentina	Australia	Brazil	Canada	China	France	Germany	India	Indonesia	Italy	Јарап	Korea	Mexico	Russia	Saudi Arabia	South Africa	Turkey	United Kingdom	United States	European Union	Ave	rage
	Marine Plastic Litter																						
19	9 Climate Change: Circular Carbon Economy	+1	+1	0	+1	0	+1	0	0	-1	0	0	0	-1	0	0	0	+1	+1	+1	+1	+0.30	65%
20	Climate Change: Paris Agreement	+1	0	+1	+1	+1	+1	+1	0	+1	+1	+1	+1	+1	+1	+1	+1	+1	+1	+1	+1	+0.90	95%
	Interim Score	+0.40	+0.40	+0.50	+0.70	+0.35	+0.79	+0.95	+0.05	+0.50	+0.53	+0.60	+0.65	+0.20	+0.35	+0.35	+0.05	+0.40	+0.85	+0.50	+0.85	+0.50	75%
	7	70%	70%	75%	85%	68%	89%	97%	53%	75%	76%	80%	83%	60%	68%	68%	53%	70%	93%	75%	93%	75%	

Table 3: 2020 G20 Riyadh Summit Interim Compliance by Member

Rank	Member	Aver	age
1	Germany	+0.95	97%
2	European Union	+0.85	93%
	United Kingdom	+0.83	9370
4	France	+0.79	89%
5	Canada	+0.70	85%
6	Korea	+0.65	83%
7	Italy	+0.61	81%
8	Japan	+0.60	80%
	United States		
9	Brazil	+0.50	75%
	Indonesia		
	Argentina		
12	Australia	+0.40	70%
	Turkey		
	China		
15	Russia	+0.35	68%
	Saudi Arabia		
18	Mexico	+0.20	60%
19	India	+0.05	53%
19	South Africa	T0.05	3370

Table 4: 2020 G20 Riyadh Summit Interim Compliance by Commitment

Rank	Commitment	Ave	rage
1	Climate Change: Paris Agreement	+0.90	95%
2	Macroeconomics: Inclusive Growth	+0.85	93%
3	Health: Preparedness and Response	+0.80	90%
4	Macroeconomics: Capital Markets	+0.75	88%
5	Digital Economy: Consumer Rights	+0.70	85%
6	Development: COVID-19	+0.65	83%
O	Gender: Inequalities	+0.03	0370
8	Health: Vaccine Distribution	+0.60	80%
9	Trade: Investment	+0.55	78%
	Gender: Economic Participation and Entrepreneurship		
10	Health: Information Sharing	+0.50	75%
	International Taxation: Tax Systems		
13	Crime and Corruption: Threats	+0.40	70%
14	International Taxation: BEPS	10.25	68%
14	Labour and Employment: Job Protection	+0.35	0070
16	Climate Change: Circular Carbon Economy	+0.30	65%
17	Development: Debt Relief	+0.20	60%
18	Trade: Open Markets	+0.12	56%
19	Energy: Fossil Fuels	10.10	FF0/
19	Environment: Marine Plastic Litter	+0.10	55%

Table 5: G20 Compliance by Member, 2008-2020

	Final		Fi	nal	Fi	nal	Fin	ıal	Fin	al	Fin	ıal	Final	
	Wash	ington	London 1		Pittsl	Pittsburgh		nto	Seo	ul	Can	nes	Los C	Cabos
Member	20	2008 2009		20	2009		10	201	10	201	11	2012		
Argentina	ina 0 50%		-0.60	20%	-0.13	44%	0	50%	-0.08	46%	0	50%	+0.31	66%
Australia	n/a	_	+0.60	80%	+0.50	75%	+0.56	78%	+0.85	93%	+0.67	84%	+0.94	97%
Brazil	+1.00	100%	+0.20	60%	-0.63	19%	+0.29	65%	+0.42	71%	+0.60	80%	+0.56	78%
Canada	+1.00	100%	+0.60	80%	+0.63	82%	+0.78	89%	+0.69	85%	+0.73	87%	+0.75	88%
China	0	50%	-0.40	30%	+0.13	57%	+0.38	69%	+0.42	71%	+0.53	77%	+0.38	69%
France	+1.00	100%	+0.80	90%	+0.63	82%	+0.56	78%	+0.77	89%	+0.60	80%	+0.69	85%
Germany	+1.00	100%	+0.80	90%	+0.63	82%	+0.56	78%	+0.54	77%	+0.67	84%	+0.56	78%
India	0	50%	-0.40	30%	-0.38	31%	-0.29	36%	+0.42	71%	+0.60	80%	+0.50	75%
Indonesia	n/a	_	-0.40	30%	-0.63	19%	-0.13	44%	+0.36	68%	+0.14	57%	+0.47	74%
Italy	+1.00	100%	0	50%	+0.13	57%	+0.56	78%	+0.77	89%	+0.80	90%	+0.19	60%
Japan	+1.00	100%	+0.20	60%	+0.50	75%	+0.56	78%	+0.62	81%	+0.47	74%	+0.50	75%
Korea	n/a	_	0	50%	+0.75	88%	+0.56	78%	+0.46	73%	+0.60	80%	+0.63	82%
Mexico	+1.00	100%	0	50%	+0.25	63%	-0.14	43%	+0.58	79%	+0.67	84%	+0.69	85%
Russia	0	50%	+0.40	70%	+0.38	69%	+0.13	57%	+0.59	80%	+0.60	80%	+0.63	82%
Saudi Arabia	n/a	_	+0.20	60%	-0.13	44%	-0.13	44%	+0.08	54%	+0.21	61%	+0.50	75%
South Africa	+1.00	100%	+0.40	70%	+0.63	82%	-0.14	43%	+0.33	67%	+0.47	74%	+0.47	74%
Turkey	n/a	_	+0.20	60%	-0.25	38%	-0.14	43%	+0.17	59%	+0.20	60%	+0.25	63%
United Kingdom	+1.00	100%	+1.00	100%	+0.50	75%	+0.78	89%	+0.77	89%	+0.87	94%	+0.81	91%
United States	0	50%	+0.40	70%	+1.00	100%	+0.33	67%	+0.38	69%	+0.53	77%	+0.81	91%
European Union	+1.00	100%	+0.60	80%	+0.38	69%	+0.57	79%	+0.82	91%	+0.85	93%	+0.75	88%
Average	+0.67	83%	+0.23	62%	+0.24	62%	+0.28	64%	+0.50	75%	+0.54	77%	+0.57	79%

n/a = not available

	Final		Final		Final		Fin	nal	Fin	nal	Final		Fi	nal	Interim		
Member	St. Pete	rsburg	Brisbane		Antalya		Hang	zhou	Hamburg		Buenos		Osaka		Riyadh		
	201	13	2014		2015		2016		2017		Aires 2018		2019		2020		
Argentina	+0.06	53%	+0.06	53%	+0.53	76%	+0.63	82%	+0.82	91%	+0.75	88%	+0.53	76%	+0.40	70%	
Australia	+0.63	81%	+0.59	79%	+0.65	82%	+0.79	89%	+0.71	85%	+0.80	90%	+0.74	87%	+0.40	70%	
Brazil	+0.31	66%	+0.12	56%	+0.53	76%	+0.58	79%	+0.82	91%	+0.70	85%	+0.47	74%	+0.50	75%	
Canada	+0.44	72%	+0.71	85%	+0.65	82%	+0.84	92%	+0.94	97%	+0.75	88%	+0.79	89%	+0.70	85%	
China	+0.19	59%	+0.59	79%	+0.59	79%	+0.74	87%	+0.76	88%	+0.75	88%	+0.42	71%	+0.35	68%	
France	+0.69	84%	+0.63	81%	+0.71	85%	+0.63	82%	+0.94	97%	+1.00	100%	+0.71	85%	+0.79	90%	
Germany	+0.75	88%	+0.69	84%	+0.71	85%	+0.79	89%	+0.88	94%	+0.65	83%	+0.88	94%	+0.95	97%	
India	+0.63	81%	+0.59	79%	+0.65	82%	+0.63	82%	+0.82	91%	+0.75	88%	+0.58	79%	+0.05	53%	
Indonesia	+0.50	75%	+0.12	56%	+0.18	59%	+0.53	76%	+0.94	97%	+0.45	73%	+0.32	66%	+0.50	75%	
Italy	+0.44	72%	+0.13	56%	+0.71	85%	+0.32	66%	+0.76	88%	+0.35	68%	+0.65	82%	+0.53	76%	
Japan	+0.31	66%	+0.65	82%	+0.35	68%	+0.68	84%	+0.76	88%	+0.55	78%	+0.58	79%	+0.60	80%	
Korea	+0.38	69%	+0.65	82%	+0.53	76%	+0.68	84%	+0.71	85%	+0.60	80%	+0.42	71%	+0.65	83%	
Mexico	+0.38	69%	+0.47	74%	+0.53	76%	+0.53	76%	+0.65	82%	+0.60	80%	+0.53	76%	+0.20	60%	
Russia	+0.44	72%	+0.47	74%	+0.47	74%	+0.68	84%	+0.65	82%	+0.35	68%	+0.47	74%	+0.35	68%	
Saudi Arabia	+0.06	53%	-0.24	38%	+0.35	68%	+0.42	71%	+0.59	79%	+0.45	73%	+0.42	71%	+0.35	68%	
South Africa	+0.25	63%	-0.12	44%	+0.24	62%	+0.37	68%	+0.65	82%	+0.45	73%	+0.37	68%	+0.05	53%	
Turkey	+0.25	63%	0	50%	+0.41	71%	+0.37	68%	+0.29	65%	+0.05	53%	+0.42	71%	+0.40	70%	
United Kingdom	+0.75	88%	+0.76	88%	+0.71	85%	+0.47	74%	+0.94	97%	+0.25	63%	+0.74	87%	+0.85	93%	
United States	+0.69	84%	+0.76	88%	+0.71	85%	+0.42	71%	+0.35	68%	+0.75	88%	+0.42	71%	+0.50	75%	
European Union	+0.63	81%	+0.75	88%	+0.81	91%	+0.84	92%	0.94	97%	+0.37	68%	+1.00	100%	+0.85	93%	
Average	+0.44	72%	+0.42	71%	+0.55	77%	+0.60	80%	0.75	87%	+0.57	78%	+0.57	79%	+0.50	75%	

Conclusions

Many of the commitments assessed in this report have timelines that extend beyond the next summit or reflect medium- and long-term priorities. A unique feature of this report is the incorporation of deadlines for commitments monitored over multiple compliance cycles. The convergence of medium- and long-term commitments and those with deadlines in the near future reflects the nature of G20 decisions as a crisis management forum and a global governance steering institution. It also illustrates the multifaceted nature of compliance assessment. As the relationship among short, medium, and long-term commitments becomes clearer, the compliance landscape for many of these priority commitments may change over the course of future compliance periods.

Future Research and Reports

The information contained in this report provides G20 members and other stakeholders with an indication of their compliance in period immediately following the Riyadh Summit. This report has been produced as an invitation for others to provide additional or more complete information on compliance during the period under study. Feedback should be sent to g20@utoronto.ca.

Considerations and Limitations

Several elements affect the findings contained in this report. While the purpose of the report is to monitor compliance with G20 commitments, it is necessary to ensure that the monitoring mechanism is realistic and considers the context within which the commitments are made. With new commitments, more attention must be paid to the initial implementation constraints faced by members. One way to accommodate these constraints is to regard the intent to implement policy measures as an illustration of compliance or being "on track" towards compliance. This initial leeway should only be granted for new commitments; intent is not a suitable indicator of compliance for medium-term or longstanding commitments. Over time as commitments become integrated in the G20 compliance mechanism, compliance guidelines should become more stringent (as members become more accustomed to the nature of the issue and the requirements for compliance).

See also Appendix: General Considerations.

Appendix: General Considerations

In evaluating the results of this report, the following considerations should be kept in mind.

Assessments contained in this report apply to commitment-related actions taken by G20 members only since the commitments were declared publicly at the last summit.

Compliance has been assessed against a selected set of priority commitments, rather than all commitments contained in the summit documents. The selection is intended to produce a representative subset of the total body of commitments. An ideal set of priority commitments represents proportionally the amount of attention paid to each policy area in summit documents, reflects the relative ambition of summit commitments, and holds as many G20 members to account for compliance as possible.

In addition to producing commitments, summits provide value by establishing new principles and norms, creating and highlighting issues and issue areas and altering the traditional discourse used to discuss priorities. Some of the most important decisions reached at summits may be done in private and not encoded in the public record of the summit documents.

Some commitments cover several years and thus compliance takes longer than the summit-to-summit timeframe applied in this report. For this reason, full compliance (denoted by a +1 score) might not require that G20 members carry out a given commitment completely, but might instead demand clear, visible progress commensurate with the overall timetable as well as public statements of support of commitment objectives.

In some cases, a G20 member might choose not to comply with a particular summit commitment for good reason, for example if global conditions have changed dramatically since the commitment was made or if new knowledge has become available about how a particular problem can best be solved.

As each G20 member has its own constitutional, legal and institutional processes for undertaking action at the national level (and in the case of the European Union at the supranational level), each member is free to act according to its own legislative schedule. Of particular importance here is the annual schedule for creating budgets, seeking legislative approval and appropriating funds.

Commitments in G20 summit documents might also be included, in whole or in part, in documents released by other international forums, as the decisions of other international organizations or even national statements such as the State of the Union Address in the US, the Queen's Speech in the UK and the Speech from the Throne in Canada. Merely repeating a G20 commitment in another forum does not count fully as compliant behaviour.

This report assesses G20 members' action in accordance with the text of actual, specific commitments made in G20 summit documents. Because commitments demand that policymakers and regulators act specifically to meet the identified objectives, this report holds policymakers accountable for pushing and passing recommended policies. Furthermore, compliance is assessed against the precise, particular commitment, rather than what might be regarded as a necessary or appropriate action to solve the problem being addressed.

As individual members can often take different actions to comply with the same commitment, no standardized cross-national evaluative criterion produced by external parties can be universally applied. The interpretive guidelines attempt to provide a clear, consistent, equitable method for assessing compliance.

Because the evaluative scale used in this compliance report runs from -1 to +1, any score in the positive range represents at least some degree of compliance.