







2019 G20 Osaka Summit Final Compliance Report

Prepared by
Sofia Lopez and the G20 Research Group
University of Toronto
Toronto
and

Irina Popova and the Center for International Institutions Research
Russian Presidential Academy of National Economy and Public Administration,
Moscow

From 30 June 2019 to 10 October 2020

19 November 2020

"The University of Toronto ... produced a detailed analysis to the extent of which each G20 country has met its commitments since the last summit ... I think this is important; we come to these summits, we make these commitments, we say we are going to do these things and it is important that there is an organisation that checks up on who has done what."

— David Cameron, Prime Minister, United Kingdom, at the 2012 Los Cabos Summit

Contents

Preface	3
Research Teams	4
Introduction and Summary	5
Commitment Breakdown	5
Selection of Commitments	5
Final Compliance Scores	
Final Compliance by Member	6
Final Compliance by Commitment	
Table 1: 2019 G20 Osaka Summit Commitments Selected for Compliance Monitoring	7
Table 2: 2019 G20 Osaka Summit Final Compliance Scores	
Table 3: 2019 G20 Osaka Summit Final Compliance by Member	10
Table 4: 2019 G20 Osaka Summit Final Compliance by Commitment	10
Table 5: G20 Compliance by Member, 2008–2019	11
Conclusions	
Future Research and Reports	
Considerations and Limitations	
Appendix: General Considerations	
1. Macroeconomics: Inclusive Growth	
2. Macroeconomics: Exchange Rates	
3. Trade: Open Markets	
4. Trade: Reform of the World Trade Organization	
5. Infrastructure: Quality Infrastructure Investment	
6. Digital Economy: Frameworks	
7. International Taxation: Digitalization	
8. Crime and Corruption: Cyber Resilience	
9. Terrorism: Internet Security	
10. Labour and Employment: Opportunities for Youth	
11. Gender: Education and Training	
12. Gender: Labour Market	
13. Development: Energy	
14. Development: Investment in Human Capital	
15. Health: Ageing Populations	
16. Health: Public Health Preparedness	
17. Environment: Marine Plastic Litter and Microplastics	
18. Climate Change: Financing for Sustainable Development	
19. Climate Change: Innovation	803

Preface

Since the G20 leaders met at their first summit in 2008 in Washington, the G20 Research Group at the University of Toronto and the Center for International Institutions Research of the Russian Presidential Academy of National Economy and Public Administration (RANEPA), and formerly with the International Organizations Research Institute at the National Research University Higher School of Economics (HSE), in Moscow have produced reports on their progress in implementing the priority commitments made at each summit. These reports monitor each G20 member's efforts to implement a carefully chosen selection of the many commitments produced at each summit. The reports are offered to the general public and to policy makers, academics, civil society, the media and interested citizens around the world in an effort to make the work of the G20 more transparent, accessible and effective, and to provide scientific data to enable the meaningful analysis of the causes of compliance, including those that leaders can change to improve compliance and the impact of this important informal international institution. Previous reports are available at the G20 Information Centre at http://www.g20.utoronto.ca/analysis.

The G20 Research Group has been working with Marina Larionova's team at RANEPA and previously at HSE since initiating this G20 compliance research in 2009, after the Washington Summit in November 2008. The initial report, covering only one commitment made at that summit, tested the compliance methodology developed by the G8 Research Group and adapted it to the G20.

To make its assessments, the G20 Research Group relies on publicly available information, documentation and media reports. To ensure accuracy, comprehensiveness and integrity, we encourage comments from stakeholders. Indeed, scores can be recalibrated if new material becomes available. All feedback remains anonymous. Responsibility for the contents of this report lies exclusively with the authors and analysts of the G20 Research Group.

Professor John Kirton Director, G20 Research Group

Research Teams

G20 Research Group Team

Professor John Kirton, Director

Sofia Lopez, Chair, Summit Studies
Alyssa Atef, Compliance Director
Kaylin Dawe, Compliance Director
Susha Guan, Compliance Director
Arshia Hassani, Compliance Director

Angela Hou, Compliance Director
Ramsha Naveed, Compliance Director
Jae Yoon Mary Noh, Compliance Director
Christopher Sims, Compliance Director
Ian Stansbury, Compliance Director
Nicole Vučemilo, Compliance Director

G20 Research Group Lead Analysts

Sophia Bai Vannie Kopalakrishnan Diego Vásquez Sonja Dobson Shamshir Malik Jennifer Miao Wang Wayne George Daniel Scarpitti Gabriella Zhao

Yiyao (Wenny) Jin Nicole Shi

G20 Research Group Analysts

Omar Abdellatif Jenna Im Julia Orsini Ishmam Ahsan Zarlasht Jamal Samantha Parker Mathuja Jeyakumar Dan Poliwoda Santiago Ardisson Miranda Bocci Harris Johnston Evangeline Procopoudis Xavier Bornert Shafna Kallil Dimas Bimo Radhityo Isabelle Buchanan Omar Kassam Shubdeep Randhawa Geoffrey Burrows Khalil Khalifa Gabrielle Regimbal Naomi Butterfield Burhan Khan Fiona Reuter Christina Caouette Jonathan Ku Yana Sadeghi Wai Suet Chan Katharina Kuhn Srijan Sahu Meimenat Cheng Anushka Kurian Khurram Shamim Fatima Chohan Zeng Lecheng Arjun Singh Raphaël Colombier Eunbi Lee Quinn Teague-Colfer Isabel Davis Abby Lessard Wing Ka Tsang Jolie Leung Olga Tumurova Margaret de Leon Erfan Ehsan Vanessa Li Sebastian Vecerina Nour Elassiuty Scarlett Lin Parul Wadhawan Elizabeth Wai Chantal Elias Daisy Liu Joy Fan Lucia Mabika Alice Wang Lucy Faria Talha Mahboob Ingrid Wong Jared Forman Areej Malik Zhenyu Xu Tony Xun Dmitriy Frolovskiy Rachael Mannie Georgia Sydney Gibbings Coco Yang April Mu Jessi Gilchrist Christina Muia Katherine Yarun Laval Hallal Varisha Naeem Brandon Yih Miles Harrison Alexandre Nouailles Emily Yu Amira Higazy Samantha Moura Novais de Derron Yu Andrea Ho Ouadros Meixi Zhang Yupeng Huang Ivette Ordonez Nunez Zimu Zhao Ilan Hughes Asahi Dania Ogie Daisy Zhou

CIIR G20 Research Team

Marina Larionova, Director Alexander Ignatov Andrey Shelepov Irina Popova, Team Director Andrey Sakharov Pavel Doronin

Introduction and Summary

The G20 2019 Osaka Final Compliance Report is prepared by the G20 Research Group based at the University of Toronto and its Russian partner at the Center for International Institutions Research of the Russian Presidential Academy of National Economy and Public Administration (RANEPA). The report analyzes compliance by G20 members with the 19 priority commitments carefully selected from the total of 128 commitments made at the Osaka Summit hosted by Japan on 28–29 June 2019. The previously published interim report covered actions taken by the G20 members between 30 June 2019 to 10 May 2020. This final report covers the full period between the 2019 and the 2020 summits and is released on the eve of the Riyadh Summit to be held on 21-22 November 2020.

Methodology and Scoring System

This report draws on the methodology developed by the G7 Research Group, which has been monitoring G7/8 compliance since 1996. The International Organisations Research Institute at the Higher School of Economics (IORI HSE) joined this multi-year project in 2005. The use of this methodology builds cross-institutional and cross-member consistency and also allows compatibility with compliance assessments of other institutions.

The methodology uses a scale from -1 to +1, where +1 indicates full compliance with the stated commitment, -1 indicates a failure to comply or action taken that is directly opposite to the stated instruments or goal of the commitment, and 0 indicates partial compliance or work in progress, such as initiatives that have been launched but are not yet near completion and whose full results can therefore not be assessed. Each member assessed receives a score of -1, 0 or +1 for each commitment. For convenience, the scores in the tables have been converted to percentages, where -1 equals 0 per cent and +1 equals 100 per cent.¹

A compliance score of -1 does not necessarily imply an unwillingness to comply on the part of G20 members. In some cases, policy actions can take multiple compliance cycles to implement and measure. As the G20 Research Group and RANEPA continue to monitor developments, progress made by members can be recorded in future compliance reports.

The Compliance Coding Manual that describes the methodology in detail is available on the G20 Information Centre website at http://www.g20.utoronto.ca/analysis/index.html#method.

Commitment Breakdown

The G20 made a total of 128 commitments at the Osaka Summit.² These commitments, as identified by the G20 Research Group and RANEPA, are drawn from the official Osaka Leaders' Declaration.

Selection of Commitments

For each compliance cycle (that is, the period between summits), the research team selects commitments that reflect the breadth of the G20 agenda and also reflect the priorities of the summit's host, while balancing the selection to allow for comparison with past and future summits, following the methodology developed by the G7 Research Group. The selection also replicates the breakdown of issue areas and the proportion of commitments in each one. Primary criteria for priority commitment selection are the comprehensiveness and relevance to the summit, the G20 and the world, as well as individual and collective pledges. Selected commitments must also meet

 $^{^{1}}$ The formula to convert a score into a percentage is P = 50 × (S + 1), where P is the percentage and S is the score.

² A commitment is defined as a discrete, specific, publicly expressed, collectively agreed statement of intent; a promise by summit members that they will undertake future action to move toward, meet or adjust to an identified target.

More details are contained in the Reference Manual for Summit Commitment and Compliance Coding).

secondary criteria of performance measurability and ability to comply to some degree within a year, as well as the tertiary criterion of significance as identified by scientific teams and relevant stakeholders in the host country.

For this report, the research teams selected 19 commitments for analysis (see Table 1).

Final Compliance Scores

This assessment is based on relevant, publicly available information relating to actions taken from 30 June 2019 to 10 October 2020. The final compliance scores by commitment are contained in Table 2. Country rankings are listed in Table 3 and commitment rankings are listed in Table 4.

For the full compliance period, including all 19 priority commitments, G20 members achieved average compliance of +0.57 (79%), up from the interim score of +0.38 (69%). The interim scores by member are available in Table 5.

Final Compliance by Member

For final compliance with the Osaka Summit's priority commitments, the European Union had the highest compliance at +1.00 (100%), followed by Germany at +0.88 (94%), Canada at +0.79 (89%) and Australia and the United Kingdom both at +0.74 (87%). Indonesia had the lowest score at +0.32 (66%). The difference between the highest and lowest G20 member compliance scores is 0.68. For more information about compliance by member, see Table 3.

Final Compliance by Commitment

One commitment, on public health preparedness, ranked first at ± 1.00 (100%). It was followed by the labour and employment commitment on opportunities for youth at ± 0.90 (95%) and four commitments with compliance of ± 0.85 (93%): a climate change commitment on financing for sustainable development, a development commitment on investing in human capital, an infrastructure commitment on quality infrastructure investment and a trade commitment on reform of the World Trade Organization. The macroeconomics commitment on exchange rates ranked fourth at ± 0.82 (91%). Lowest compliance was on the trade commitment on open markets at ± 0.12 (44%). For more information on scoring by commitment, see Table 4.

Table 1: 2019 G20 Osaka Summit Commitments Selected for Compliance Monitoring

		1 0
1	Macroeconomics: Inclusive Growth	"We reaffirm our commitment to use all policy tools to achieve strong, sustainable, balanced and inclusive growth, and safeguard against downside risks, by stepping up our dialogue and actions to enhance confidence." (G20 Osaka Leaders' Declaration)
2	Macroeconomics:	"We also reaffirm the exchange rate commitments made by our
_	Exchange Rates	Finance Ministers and Central Bank Governors in March 2018."
	Exchange Kates	
_	H 1 0 25 1	(G20 Osaka Leaders' Declaration)
3	Trade: Open Markets	"[We strive to] keep our markets open." (G20 Osaka Leaders'
		Declaration)
4	Trade:	"We reaffirm our support for the necessary reform of the World
	Reform of the World	Trade Organization (WTO) to improve its functions." (G20 Osaka
	Trade Organization	Leaders' Declaration)
5	Infrastructure: Quality	"We endorse the G20 Principles for Quality Infrastructure
	Infrastructure Investment	Investment as our common strategic direction and high aspiration."
	initiastructure investment	(G20 2019 Osaka Leaders' Declaration)
-	Digital Faces and	,
6	Digital Economy:	"We will cooperate to encourage the interoperability of different
	Frameworks	frameworks [for data free flow with trust]" (G20 Leaders' Osaka
		Declaration)
7	International Taxation:	"We will redouble our efforts for a consensus-based solution [on
	Digitalization	addressing the tax challenges arising from digitalization] with a final
		report by 2020." (G20 Osaka Leaders' Declaration)
8	Crime and Corruption:	"We also continue to step up efforts to enhance cyber resilience."
	Cyber Resilience	(G20 Osaka Leaders' Declaration)
9	Terrorism:	"For us all to reap the rewards of digitalisation, we are committed
	Internet Security	to realising an open, free and secure internet." (G20 2019 Osaka
	internet security	Leaders' Declaration)
10	Labour and Employment:	"We will also continue to promote employment opportunities for
10	Opportunities for Youth	and employability of the young population." (G20 Osaka Leaders'
	Opportunities for Touth	
1.1	0 1 51 2 1	Declaration)
11	Gender: Education and	"[We commit to continue support for girls' and women's education
	Training	and training, including] improved access to STEM (Science,
		Technology, Engineering and Mathematics)." (G20 Osaka Leaders'
		Declaration)
12	Gender: Labour Market	"We will also address the gender gap in unpaid care work which
		remains a major obstacle to women's participation in the labour
		market." (G20 Osaka Leaders Declaration)
13	Development: Energy	"[We support developing countries in their efforts to advance
		progress towards the timely implementation of the SDGs
		(Sustainable Development Goals) in such areas as] energy
		[using all means of implementation, such as the mobilization of
		private sector resources and capacity building assistance.]" (G20
		Osaka Leaders' Declaration)
14	Development: Investment	"We reaffirm our commitment to invest in human capital[as
	in Human Capital	emphasized in the G20 Initiative on Human Capital Investment for
		Sustainable Development.]" (G20 Osaka Leaders' Declaration)
15	Health: Ageing	"We will promote healthy and active ageing through policy
	Populations	measures to address health promotion." (G20 Osaka Leaders'
	1	Declaration)
]	

16	Health: Public Health	"We are committed to improving public health preparedness and
	Preparedness	response including strengthening our own core capacities" (G20
	_	Osaka Leaders' Declaration)
17	Environment: Marine	"In this regard, we are determined to swiftly take appropriate
	Plastic Litter and	national actions for the prevention and significant reduction of
	Microplastics	discharges of plastic litter and microplastics to the oceans." (G20
	_	Osaka Leaders' Declaration)
18	Climate Change:	"To this end, we strive to foster inclusive finance for sustainable
	Financing for Sustainable	development, including public and private financing mobilization
	Development	and alignment between them." (G20 Osaka Leaders' Declaration)
19	Climate Change:	"[To this end, we strive to foster] innovation in a wide range of
	Innovation	areas for low emissions and resilient development." (G20 Osaka
		Leaders' Declaration)

Table 2: 2019 G20 Osaka Summit Final Compliance Scores

Macroeconomics																							
Macroeconomics +1 0 0 +1 +1 +1 +1 +1		Argentina	Australia	Brazil	Canada	China	France	Germany	India	Indonesia	Italy	Japan	Korea	Mexico	Russia	Saudi Arabia	South Africa	Turkey	United Kingdom	United States	European Union	Ave	rage
Exchange Rates 3 Trade: Open Markets 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0		+1	0	0		+1	+1		+1	+1	+1			+1	+1	+1		0					88%
3 Trade: Open Markets 0 0 0 0 0 0 n/a n/a 0 0 n/a -1 -1 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 -1 +1 -1 -1 -1 24% 4 Trade: Reform of the +1 +1 +1 +1 +1 +1 +1 +		+1	+1	+1	+1	-1	n/a	n/a	+1	+1	n/a	+1	+1	+1	+1	0	+1	+1	+1	+1	+1	+0.82	91%
## Frace Reform of the World Trade Organization Frameworks	3 Trade: Open Markets	0	0	0	0	0	n/a	n/a	0	0	n/a	-1	-1	0	0	0	0	0	0	-1	+1	-0.12	44%
Infrastructure Investment	4 Trade: Reform of the World Trade Organization	+1	+1	+1	+1	+1	0	+1	+1	+1	0	+1	+1	+1	+1	+1	+1	+1	+1	0	+1		
Frameworks Colorador Frameworks Colorador Frameworks Colorador Frameworks Colorador Frameworks Colorador	Infrastructure Investment		+1	+1	+1		0	+1	_		_	0	Ů	+1	+1	+1	+1	+1	+1	+1	+1		
Digitalization	Frameworks	Ů	+1		_										Ŭ	Ŭ	Ť	Ŭ					
Cyber Resilience	Digitalization	0	-1	+1	0	0	+1	+1	+1	0	+1	+1	-1	0	+1	0	0	0	+1	-1	+1	+0.30	
10 Labour and Employment: Opportunities for Youth		+1	+1	+1	+1	0	+1	+1	0	+1	+1	+1	0	+1	+1	+1	0	+1	+1	+1	+1	+0.80	90%
Opportunities for Youth 11 Gender: Education and O +1 O O -1 O +1 +1 -1 +1 O O O +1 O O O O O O O O O	9 Terrorism: Internet Security	0	0	0	+1	0	0	0	0	-1	0	0	+1	-1	0	0	0	-1	0	0	+1	0.00	
Training Training		+1	+1	+1	+1	0	+1	+1	0	+1	+1	+1	+1	+1	+1	+1	+1	+1	+1	+1	+1	+0.90	95%
13 Development: Energy 0		0	+1	0	0	-1	0	+1	+1	-1	+1	0	+1	0	0	+1	0	0	0	0	+1	+0.25	63%
14 Development: Investment in Human Capital	12 Gender: Labour Market	0	+1	0	+1	0	+1	+1	+1	0	0	0	-1	0	0	+1	0	+1	+1	+1	+1	+0.45	
in Human Capital		0	+1	+1	+1	+1	+1	+1		0		+1	+1	+1	+1	0	0	+1	+1	+1	+1	+0.75	88%
Populations		+1	+1	0	+1	+1	+1	+1	+1	+1	+1	+1	0	+1	0	+1	+1	+1	+1	+1	+1	+0.85	93%
Preparedness	15 Health: Ageing Populations	+1	+1	-1	+1	+1	+1	0	0	-1	-1	+1	+1	0	0	-1	-1	-1	0	0	+1	+0.10	55%
Plastic Litter and Microplastics Nicroplastics Nicropl		+1	+1	+1	+1	+1	+1	+1	+1	+1	+1	+1	+1	+1	+1	+1	+1	+1	+1	+1	+1	+1.00	100%
for Sustainable Development	Plastic Litter and Microplastics		+1	-1	0	+1	0	+1	-1	-1	0	0	+1	0	-1	-1	0	0	0	-1	+1		
Average $ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$	for Sustainable Development	0	+1	+1	+1	+1	+1	+1	+1	+1	+1	+1	0	+1	+1	+1	+1	0	+1	+1	+1	+0.85	93%
Average 76% 87% 74% 89% 71% 85% 94% 79% 66% 82% 79% 71% 76% 74% 71% 68% 71% 87% 71% 100% +0.57 79%	19 Climate Change: Innovation									V		V			V	V						+0.70	85%
	Average						0., -															+0.57	70%
	Average	76%	87%	74%	89%	71%	85%	94%	79%	66%	82%	79%	71%	76%	74%	71%	68%	71%	87%	71%	100%	10.37	17/0

n/a = not applicable

Table 3: 2019 G20 Osaka Summit Final Compliance by Member

Rank	Member	Ave	rage		
1	European Union	+1.00	100%		
2	Germany	+0.88	94%		
3	Canada	+0.79	89%		
4	Australia	+0.74	87%		
4	United Kingdom	+0.74	0/70		
6	France	+0.71	85%		
7	Italy	+0.65	82%		
8	India	+0.58	79%		
0	Japan	+0.38	/970		
10	Argentina	10.53	76%		
10	Mexico	+0.53	/0/0		
12	Brazil	+0.47	74%		
12	Russia	+0.47	/470		
	China				
	Korea				
14	Saudi Arabia	+0.42	71%		
	Turkey				
	United States				
19	South Africa	+0.37	68%		
20	Indonesia	+0.32	66%		

Table 4: 2019 G20 Osaka Summit Final Compliance by Commitment

Rank	Commitment	Ave	rage	
1	Health: Public Health Preparedness	+1.00	100%	
2	Labour and Employment: Opportunities for Youth	+0.90	95%	
	Climate Change: Financing for Sustainable Development			
3	Development: Investment in Human Capital	+0.85	93%	
3	Infrastructure: Quality Infrastructure Investment	+0.63	93/0	
	Trade: Reform of the World Trade Organization			
7	Macroeconomics: Exchange Rates	+0.82	91%	
8	Crime and Corruption: Cyber Resilience	+0.80	90%	
	Development: Energy	+0.75		
9	Gender: Labour Market		88%	
	Macroeconomics: Inclusive Growth			
12	Climate Change: Innovation	10.70	85%	
12	Digital Economy: Frameworks	+0.70	63/0	
14	International Taxation: Digitalization	+0.30	65%	
15	Gender: Education and Training	+0.25	63%	
16	Health: Ageing Populations	+0.10	55%	
17	Terrorism: Internet Security	0	50%	
18	Environment: Marine Plastic Litter and Microplastics	-0.05	48%	
19	Trade: Open Markets	-0.12	44%	

Table 5: G20 Compliance by Member, 2008-2019

	Final		Final		Final		Final		Final		Final		Final		Final	
Member	Washi 20	ngton 08	Lon 20		Pittsb 20	ourgh 09	Toro		Sec 201		Can 201		Los C 201		Peters 20	sburg
Argentina	0	50%	-0.60	20%	-0.13	44%	0	50%	-0.08	46%	0	50%	+0.31	66%	+0.06	53%
Australia	n/a	_	+0.60	80%	+0.50	75%	+0.56	78%	+0.85	93%	+0.67	84%	+0.94	97%	+0.63	81%
Brazil	+1.00	100%	+0.20	60%	-0.63	19%	+0.29	65%	+0.42	71%	+0.60	80%	+0.56	78%	+0.31	66%
Canada	+1.00	100%	+0.60	80%	+0.63	82%	+0.78	89%	+0.69	85%	+0.73	87%	+0.75	88%	+0.44	72%
China	0	50%	-0.40	30%	+0.13	57%	+0.38	69%	+0.42	71%	+0.53	77%	+0.38	69%	+0.19	59%
France	+1.00	100%	+0.80	90%	+0.63	82%	+0.56	78%	+0.77	89%	+0.60	80%	+0.69	85%	+0.69	84%
Germany	+1.00	100%	+0.80	90%	+0.63	82%	+0.56	78%	+0.54	77%	+0.67	84%	+0.56	78%	+0.75	88%
India	0	50%	-0.40	30%	-0.38	31%	-0.29	36%	+0.42	71%	+0.60	80%	+0.50	75%	+0.63	81%
Indonesia	n/a	_	-0.40	30%	-0.63	19%	-0.13	44%	+0.36	68%	+0.14	57%	+0.47	74%	+0.50	75%
Italy	+1.00	100%	0	50%	+0.13	57%	+0.56	78%	+0.77	89%	+0.80	90%	+0.19	60%	+0.44	72%
Japan	+1.00	100%	+0.20	60%	+0.50	75%	+0.56	78%	+0.62	81%	+0.47	74%	+0.50	75%	+0.31	66%
Korea	n/a	_	0	50%	+0.75	88%	+0.56	78%	+0.46	73%	+0.60	80%	+0.63	82%	+0.38	69%
Mexico	+1.00	100%	0	50%	+0.25	63%	-0.14	43%	+0.58	79%	+0.67	84%	+0.69	85%	+0.38	69%
Russia	0	50%	+0.40	70%	+0.38	69%	+0.13	57%	+0.59	80%	+0.60	80%	+0.63	82%	+0.44	72%
Saudi Arabia	n/a	_	+0.20	60%	-0.13	44%	-0.13	44%	+0.08	54%	+0.21	61%	+0.50	75%	+0.06	53%
South Africa	+1.00	100%	+0.40	70%	+0.63	82%	-0.14	43%	+0.33	67%	+0.47	74%	+0.47	74%	+0.25	63%
Turkey	n/a	_	+0.20	60%	-0.25	38%	-0.14	43%	+0.17	59%	+0.20	60%	+0.25	63%	+0.25	63%
United Kingdom	+1.00	100%	+1.00	100%	+0.50	75%	+0.78	89%	+0.77	89%	+0.87	94%	+0.81	91%	+0.75	88%
United States	0	50%	+0.40	70%	+1.00	100%	+0.33	67%	+0.38	69%	+0.53	77%	+0.81	91%	+0.69	84%
European Union	+1.00	100%	+0.60	80%	+0.38	69%	+0.57	79%	+0.82	91%	+0.85	93%	+0.75	88%	+0.63	81%
Average	+0.67	83%	+0.23	62%	+0.24	62%	+0.28	64%	+0.50	75%	+0.54	77%	+0.57	79%	+0.44	72%

n/a = not available

Table 5: G20 Compliance by Member, 2008–2019, continued

	Final		Final		Fin	ıal	Fin	al	Fin	nal	Interim	
	Brisb	ane	Antalya		Hangzhou		Haml	ourg	Bueno	s Aires	Os	aka
Member	201	4	201	15	201	16	201	.7	20	18	2019	
Argentina	+0.06	53%	+0.53	76%	+0.63	82%	+0.82	91%	+0.75	88%	+0.65	83%
Australia	+0.59	79%	+0.65	82%	+0.79	89%	+0.71	85%	+0.80	90%	+0.88	94%
Brazil	+0.12	56%	+0.53	76%	+0.58	79%	+0.82	91%	+0.70	85%	-0.18	41%
Canada	+0.71	85%	+0.65	82%	+0.84	92%	+0.94	97%	+0.75	88%	+0.70	85%
China	+0.59	79%	+0.59	79%	+0.74	87%	+0.76	88%	+0.75	88%	+0.55	78%
France	+0.63	81%	+0.71	85%	+0.63	82%	+0.94	97%	+1.00	100%	+0.30	65%
Germany	+0.69	84%	+0.71	85%	+0.79	89%	+0.88	94%	+0.65	83%	-0.10	45%
India	+0.59	79%	+0.65	82%	+0.63	82%	+0.82	91%	+0.75	88%	+0.30	65%
Indonesia	+0.12	56%	+0.18	59%	+0.53	76%	+0.94	97%	+0.45	73%	-0.10	45%
Italy	+0.13	56%	+0.71	85%	+0.32	66%	+0.76	88%	+0.35	68%	+0.75	88%
Japan	+0.65	82%	+0.35	68%	+0.68	84%	+0.76	88%	+0.55	78%	+0.15	58%
Korea	+0.65	82%	+0.53	76%	+0.68	84%	+0.71	85%	+0.60	80%	+0.30	65%
Mexico	+0.47	74%	+0.53	76%	+0.53	76%	+0.65	82%	+0.60	80%	+0.50	75%
Russia	+0.47	74%	+0.47	74%	+0.68	84%	+0.65	82%	+0.35	68%	+0.80	90%
Saudi Arabia	-0.24	38%	+0.35	68%	+0.42	71%	+0.59	79%	+0.45	73%	-0.05	48%
South Africa	-0.12	44%	+0.24	62%	+0.37	68%	+0.65	82%	+0.45	73%	+0.80	90%
Turkey	0	50%	+0.41	71%	+0.37	68%	+0.29	65%	+0.05	53%	-0.40	30%
United Kingdom	+0.76	88%	+0.71	85%	+0.47	74%	+0.94	97%	+0.25	63%	+0.80	90%
United States	+0.76	88%	+0.71	85%	+0.42	71%	+0.35	68%	+0.75	88%	+0.60	80%
European Union	+0.75	88%	+0.81	91%	+0.84	92%	0.94	97%	+0.37	68%	+0.38	69%
Average	+0.42	71%	+0.55	77%	+0.60	80%	0.75	87%	+0.57	78%	+0.65	83%

Conclusions

Many of the commitments assessed in this report have timelines that extend beyond the next summit or reflect medium— and long-term priorities. A unique feature of this report is the incorporation of deadlines for commitments monitored over multiple compliance cycles. The convergence of medium- and long-term commitments and those with deadlines in the near future reflects the nature of G20 decisions as a crisis management forum and a global governance steering institution. It also illustrates the multifaceted nature of compliance assessment. As the relationship among short, medium, and long-term commitments becomes clearer, the compliance landscape for many of these priority commitments may change over the course of future compliance periods.

Future Research and Reports

The information contained in this report provides G20 members and other stakeholders with an indication of their compliance between the Osaka Summit and the Riyadh Summit. This report has been produced as an invitation for others to provide additional or more complete information on compliance during the period under study. Feedback should be sent to g20@utoronto.ca.

Considerations and Limitations

Several elements affect the findings contained in this report. While the purpose of the report is to monitor compliance with G20 commitments, it is necessary to ensure that the monitoring mechanism is realistic and considers the context within which the commitments are made. With new commitments, more attention must be paid to the initial implementation constraints faced by members. One way to accommodate these constraints is to regard the intent to implement policy measures as an illustration of compliance or being "on track" towards compliance. This initial leeway should only be granted for new commitments; intent is not a suitable indicator of compliance for medium-term or longstanding commitments. Over time as commitments become integrated in the G20 compliance mechanism, compliance guidelines should become more stringent (as members become more accustomed to the nature of the issue and the requirements for compliance).

See also Appendix: General Considerations.

Appendix: General Considerations

In evaluating the results of this report, the following considerations should be kept in mind.

Assessments contained in this report apply to commitment-related actions taken by G20 members only since the commitments were declared publicly at the last summit.

Compliance has been assessed against a selected set of priority commitments, rather than all commitments contained in the summit documents. The selection is intended to produce a representative subset of the total body of commitments. An ideal set of priority commitments represents proportionally the amount of attention paid to each policy area in summit documents, reflects the relative ambition of summit commitments, and holds as many G20 members to account for compliance as possible.

In addition to producing commitments, summits provide value by establishing new principles and norms, creating and highlighting issues and issue areas and altering the traditional discourse used to discuss priorities. Some of the most important decisions reached at summits may be done in private and not encoded in the public record of the summit documents.

Some commitments cover several years and thus compliance takes longer than the summit-to-summit timeframe applied in this report. For this reason, full compliance (denoted by a +1 score) might not require that G20 members carry out a given commitment completely, but might instead demand clear, visible progress commensurate with the overall timetable as well as public statements of support of commitment objectives.

In some cases, a G20 member might choose not to comply with a particular summit commitment for good reason, for example if global conditions have changed dramatically since the commitment was made or if new knowledge has become available about how a particular problem can best be solved.

As each G20 member has its own constitutional, legal and institutional processes for undertaking action at the national level (and in the case of the European Union at the supranational level), each member is free to act according to its own legislative schedule. Of particular importance here is the annual schedule for creating budgets, seeking legislative approval and appropriating funds.

Commitments in G20 summit documents might also be included, in whole or in part, in documents released by other international forums, as the decisions of other international organizations or even national statements such as the State of the Union Address in the US, the Queen's Speech in the UK and the Speech from the Throne in Canada. Merely repeating a G20 commitment in another forum does not count fully as compliant behaviour.

This report assesses G20 members' action in accordance with the text of actual, specific commitments made in G20 summit documents. Because commitments demand that policymakers and regulators act specifically to meet the identified objectives, this report holds policymakers accountable for pushing and passing recommended policies. Furthermore, compliance is assessed against the precise, particular commitment, rather than what might be regarded as a necessary or appropriate action to solve the problem being addressed.

As individual members can often take different actions to comply with the same commitment, no standardized cross-national evaluative criterion produced by external parties can be universally applied. The interpretive guidelines attempt to provide an clear, consistent, equitable method for assessing compliance.

Because the evaluative scale used in this compliance report runs from -1 to +1, any score in the positive range represents at least some degree of compliance.