



UNIVERSITY OF  
TORONTO

MUNK  
SCHOOL  
OF  
GLOBAL  
AFFAIRS

*Join the Global Conversation*

The  
**G8 Research Group**  
at Trinity College at the Munk School of Global Affairs in the University of Toronto

presents the

## 2010 Muskoka G8 Summit Interim Compliance Report

26 June 2010 to 8 February 2011

Prepared by  
Melanie Clarke, Amadeus Domaradzki, Adam McCauley,  
Netila Demneri and Salahuddin Rafiquddin  
with Jenilee Guebert  
G8 Research Group, Trinity College, Munk School of Global Affairs,  
University of Toronto  
Toronto

and Mark Rakhmangulov  
National Research University Higher School of Economics  
International Organisations Research Institute  
Moscow

21 February 2011

[www.g8.utoronto.ca](http://www.g8.utoronto.ca) • [www.g8live.org](http://www.g8live.org)  
[g8@utoronto.ca](mailto:g8@utoronto.ca)

## Contents

Preface	3
Summary	4
Table A: 2010 Muskoka G8 Interim Scores	6
Table B: G8 Compliance by Country, 1996-2009	7
Research Team	8
1. Official Development Assistance [4]	9
2. Health: Health Care Funding [11]	31
3. Health: HIV/AIDS [14]	42
4. Health: Neglected Tropical Diseases [18]	53
5. Food and Agriculture: L'Aquila Food Security Initiative [19]	64
6. Food and Agriculture: Principles for Investment [20]	76
7. Good Governance: Kimberley Process [22]	83
8. Climate Change: Mid-Term Emissions Reductions [26]	94
9. Climate Change: Implementation of the Copenhagen Accord [27]	112
10. Trade [38]	130
11. Non-proliferation [39]	142
12. Nuclear Safety [43]	159
13. Regional Security: Afghanistan [51]	171
14. Regional Security: Civilian Security Systems [59]	186
15. Terrorism: International Cooperation [65]	205
16. Terrorism: Enhancing Security [68]	226
17. Terrorism: Capacity Building [70]	247
18. Natural Disasters [55]	264

## Preface

Each year since 1996, the G8 Research Group has produced a compliance report on the progress made by the G8 member countries in meeting the priority commitments issued at each summit. Since 2002, the group has published both an interim report, timed to assess progress at the moment of the transition between one country's year as host and the next, and a final report issued just before the leaders' annual summit. These reports, which monitor each country's efforts on a carefully chosen selection of the many commitments announced at the end of each summit, are offered to the general public and to policy makers, academics, civil society, the media and interested citizens around the world in an effort to make the work of the G8 more transparent, accessible and effective, and to provide scientific data to enable the meaningful analysis of the impact of this unique and informal institution. Compliance reports are available at the G8 Information Centre at <[www.g8.utoronto.ca/compliance](http://www.g8.utoronto.ca/compliance)>.

The G8 Research Group is an independent organization based at the University of Toronto. Founded in 1987, it is an international network of scholars, professionals and students that has as its mission to serve as the leading independent source of information and analysis on the G8. The group oversees the G8 Information Centre, which publishes, free of charge, research on the G8 and the official documents issued by the G8.

For the 2010 Interim Compliance report, 18 priority commitments were selected from the 73 commitments made at the Muskoka Summit, hosted by Canada from 25 to 26 June 2010. This report assesses the results of compliance with those commitments as of 8 February 2011. The G8 Research Group in Toronto worked with a team at the National Research University Higher School of Economics (HSE) in Moscow, as it has since 2006, led by Mark Rakhmangulov, especially on the reports for Russia.

To make its assessments, the G8 Research Group relies on publicly available information, documentation and media reports. To ensure the accuracy, comprehensiveness and integrity of these reports, we encourage comments and suggestions. Indeed, this is a living document, and the scores can be recalibrated if new material becomes available. All feedback remains anonymous. Responsibility for this report's contents lies exclusively with the authors and analysts of the G8 Research Group.

The work of the G8 Research Group would not be possible without the steadfast dedication of many people around the world. This report is the product of a team of energetic and hard-working analysts led by Netila Demneri, Chair of the student executive, as well as the Vice-Chair, Salahuddin Rafiquddin, and the co-chairs of the Compliance Unit: Melanie Clarke, Amadeus Domaradzki and Adam McCauley. It would also not be possible without the support of Dr. Ella Kokotsis, Director of Compliance, and Jenilee Guebert, Director of Research. We are also indebted to the many individuals who provided feedback on our draft version, whose comments have been carefully considered in this revised report.

John Kirton  
Director, G8 Research Group

## Summary

The University of Toronto G8 Research Group's 2010 Muskoka G8 Summit Interim Compliance Report is based on an analysis of compliance by G8 members states and the European Union with a selection of 18 priority commitments made at the Muskoka Summit. The report covers the period from 26 June 2010 to 8 February 2011. This timeframe allows for an assessment of compliance part way between the 2010 Muskoka Summit and the forthcoming Deauville Summit, which will be hosted by France on 26-27 May 2011.

The observations contained in this report are drawn from publicly available sources available between 26 June 2010 and 8 February 2011.

The Interim Compliance Scores are contained in Table A. Table B contains compliance scores for the period of 1996 to 2009.

### The Overall Interim Compliance Score

The results indicate that G8 members achieved an average interim compliance score of +0.41 for the period 26 June 2010 to 8 February 2011. This compares with an interim compliance score of +0.33 for 2009. Individual scores are assigned on a scale where +1 indicates full compliance with the stated commitment, 0 indicates partial compliance or a work in progress, and -1 indicates a failure to comply or action taken that is directly opposite to the stated goal of the commitment.

### Compliance by Member

Canada stands first, a rise from its usual second-place spot. It is tied in first place with the EU. Russia, which traditionally has ranked between sixth and eighth, is in second place. The United Kingdom, historically ranked first, is now tied for third place with Germany and the United States. France is scored fourth. Japan came in fifth place, having scored within one rank of its historical average. Italy has scored sixth for compliance.

### The Compliance Gap Between Members

The compliance gap between members has decreased compared to previous interim reports. The difference between the highest and lowest G8 member compliance scores is now +0.39, compared to the interim compliance gap of +0.88 in 2009 and the +0.65 in 2008.

### Compliance by Commitment

Overall compliance by commitment is almost uniformly positive, ranging from 0 to +1. The one exception is the commitment on Health Care Funding, which scored an average of -0.67. This is the only commitment to score below zero, compared to four last year and five the year before. One commitment scored a 0 average. Eight commitments scored between 0 and +0.50. Seven commitments scored above +0.50, which is a drop from last year's ten. One commitment received a score of +1, as did one last year.

Security commitments received the highest average compliance scores. Both Civilian Security Systems and Non-Proliferation scored high averages at +0.78 and +0.89, respectively. Afghanistan scored the only +1.00 score. Nuclear Safety scored at the low end with an average of +0.22.

Compliance with commitments on Terrorism was generally strong, with International Cooperation, Enhancing Security and Capacity Building receiving average scores of +0.67, +0.78 and +0.56, respectively. The average score for the three is +0.67, an increase of +0.11 from last year's Terrorism average.

Compliance with the commitment on Natural Disasters received a high score of +0.78.

Trade received a score of +0.22, which is a significant increase from last year's score of -0.78.

Commitments dealing with the international framework for development assistance proved variable. Official Development Assistance received an average score of +0.67 and Good Governance (the Kimberley Process) received a score of +0.33. Health Care Funding scored -0.67, the only negative score. The commitments on HIV/AIDS and Neglected Tropical Diseases each scored +0.22. Similarly low averages were scored by the commitments on Food and Agriculture, with the L'Aquila Food Security Initiative and the Principles for Investment receiving scores of 0 and +0.22, respectively.

Compliance with commitments on Climate Change was generally low, with Mid-Term Emissions Reductions and Implementation of the Copenhagen Accord scoring averages of +0.22 and +0.11 respectively. The average score for the two commitments is +0.17, well below the +0.53 average for compliance on Climate Change commitments from 2009 and the +0.39 average score of the 2008 Climate Change commitments.

#### **Future Research and Reports**

The information contained within this report provides G8 member countries and other stakeholders with an indication of their compliance in the initial post-Muskoka period. As with previous compliance reports, this report has been produced as an invitation for others to provide additional or more complete information on country compliance. As always, comments are welcomed and would be considered as part of an analytical reassessment. Please send feedback to [g8@utoronto.ca](mailto:g8@utoronto.ca).

**Table A: 2010 Muskoka G8 Interim Scores**

Commitment Name	CDN	FRA	GER	ITA	JPN	RUS	UK	US	EU	Average
Official Development Assistance [4]	1	1	0	0	1	1	1	1	0	0.67
Health: Health Care Funding [11]	1	-1	0	-1	-1	-1	-1	-1	-1	-0.67
Health: HIV/AIDS [14]	1	0	0	-1	1	0	-1	1	1	0.22
Neglected Tropical Diseases [18]	1	0	1	-1	-1	0	1	1	0	0.22
Food and Agriculture: L'Aquila Food Security Initiative [19]	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.00
Food and Agriculture: Principles for Investment [20]	0	0	0	1	0	1	0	0	0	0.22
Good Governance: Kimberley Process [22]	0	1	0	0	1	0	1	0	0	0.33
Climate Change: Mid-Term Emissions Reductions [26]	-1	1	1	0	-1	1	1	-1	1	0.22
Climate Change: Implementation of Copenhagen Accord [27]	0	1	1	-1	-1	0	0	0	1	0.11
Trade [38]	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	1	0.22
Non-Proliferation [39]	1	1	1	0	1	1	1	1	1	0.89
Nuclear Safety [43]	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	0.22
Regional Security: Afghanistan [51]	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1.00
Natural Disasters [55]	1	1	0	1	1	0	1	1	1	0.78
Regional Security: Civilian Security Systems [59]	1	1	0	1	1	1	1	1	1	0.89
Terrorism: International Cooperation [65]	1	0	1	1	0	1	0	1	1	0.67
Terrorism: Enhancing Security [68]	1	-1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	0.78
Terrorism: Capacity Building [70]	1	0	1	1	0	1	1	0	0	0.56
Country Average	0.56	0.33	0.44	0.17	0.22	0.50	0.44	0.44	0.56	
										0.41

**Table B: G8 Compliance by Country, 1996-2009**

Summit	Lyon	Denver	Birmingham	Cologne	Okinawa	Genoa	Kananaskis		Evian	
Date	1996	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001	2002		2003	
	Final	Final	Final	Final	Final	Final	Interim	Final	Interim	Final
Canada	0.47	0.17	0.50	0.67	0.83	0.82	0.77	0.82	0.50	0.72
France	0.28	0.00	0.25	0.34	0.92	0.69	0.38	0.64	0.39	0.50
Germany	0.58	0.17	0.25	0.17	1.00	0.59	0.08	0.18	0.50	0.67
Italy	0.43	0.50	0.67	0.34	0.89	0.57	0.00	-0.11	0.39	0.44
Japan	0.22	0.50	0.20	0.67	0.82	0.44	0.10	0.18	0.33	0.39
Russia	N/A	0.00	0.34	0.17	0.14	0.11	0.14	0.00	0.00	0.06
UK	0.42	0.50	0.75	0.50	1.00	0.69	0.42	0.55	0.50	0.67
US	0.42	0.34	0.60	0.50	0.67	0.35	0.25	0.36	0.44	0.72
EU	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	0.50	0.72
G8 + EU	0.40	0.27	0.45	0.39	0.78	0.53	0.27	0.33	0.39	0.54
# of commitments assessed	19	6	7	6	12	9	13	11	18	18

Summit	Sea Island		Gleneagles		St. Petersburg		Heiligendamm		Hokkaido-Toyako		L'Aquila	
Date	2004		2005		2006		2007		2008		2009	
	Interim	Final	Interim	Final	Interim	Final	Interim	Final	Interim	Final	Interim	Final
Canada	0.58	0.83	0.52	0.81	0.45	0.60	0.50	0.72	0.22	0.65	0.5	0.67
France	0.50	0.75	0.48	0.57	0.30	0.40	0.39	0.50	0.17	0.52	0.25	0.42
Germany	0.42	0.50	0.33	0.88	0.45	0.55	0.50	0.67	0.48	0.57	0.29	0.42
Italy	0.38	0.25	0.43	0.29	-0.10	0.05	0.39	0.44	0.13	0.17	-0.25	0.04
Japan	0.42	0.42	0.52	0.52	0.30	0.40	0.33	0.39	0.04	0.30	0.54	0.75
Russia	0.42	0.33	-0.14	0.14	0.25	0.45	0.00	0.06	0.17	0.30	0.21	0.33
UK	0.58	0.50	0.67	0.95	0.55	0.60	0.50	0.67	0.61	0.70	0.63	0.83
US	0.50	0.50	0.71	0.81	0.35	0.60	0.44	0.72	0.78	0.91	0.42	0.63
EU	N/A	N/A	0.75	0.89	0.58	0.58	0.50	0.72	0.39	0.48	0.42	0.67
G8 + EU	0.48	0.51	0.47	0.65	0.35	0.47	0.39	0.54	0.33	0.51	0.33	0.53
# of commitments assessed	12	12	21	21	20	20	18	18	23	23	24	24

## Research Team

Professor John Kirton, Director, G8 Research Group  
Professor Marina Larionova, Head, HSE International Organisations Research Institute  
Dr. Ella Kokotsis, Director of Compliance, G8 Research Group  
Jenilee Guebert, Director of Research, G8 Research Group  
Netila Demneri, Chair, G8 Research Group  
Salahuddin Rafiquddin, Vice-Chair, G8 Research Group  
Melanie Clarke, Co-Chair, Compliance Unit  
Amadeus Domaradzki, Co-Chair, Compliance Unit  
Adam McCauley, Co-Chair, Compliance Unit  
Mark Rakhmangulov, HSE Research Team Leader

### Lead Analysts

Natalie Antonowicz	Taryn McKenzie-Mohr	Selena Lucien Shaboian
Rebecca Blanchard	Ashley Pereira	Hamish van der Ven
Kevin Draper	Ava-Dayna Sefa	Vivian Wei

### Analysts at the University of Toronto

Mina Akrami	Alisa Gorokhova	Leah Nosal
Nerin Ali	Irina Grechukhina	Harris Quach
Katie Andrews	Sammy Halabi	Aoife Quinn
Natalie Antonowicz	Jasmine Hamade	Patrick Quinton-Brown
Amy Barlow	Michael Hanrahan	Asma Rafiquddin
Sarah Beard	Nayma Hasan	Robert Schuster
Julie Beckstead	Angelo Hsu	Ioana Sendroiu
Eleanor Berenson	Ren Hui Yoong	Saim Siddiqui
James Marcus Bridger	Amanda Iadipaolo	Samir Siddiqui
Nadia Bucciarelli	Mehreen Imtiaz	Tara Stankovic
Catherine Cantral	Nikola Jankovic	Jessie Sun
Tina Chang	Jemy Joseph	Debbie Talukdar
Kelvin Chen	Chi Chung Kenson Tong	Nabeel Thomas
Vanessa Cheng	Nessa Kenny	Nehal Tolia
Dave Cordingley	Kelsey Komorowski	Albina Tyker
Laura Correa Ochoa	Sara Lee	Alexander Vindua
Salvator Cusimano	Mimi Liu	Sabina Voicu
Jessie Date-Ampofo	Yiping Luo	Nastasja Vojvodic
Anjela Deyanska	Andrew Lynes	Joelle Westlund
Natalie Dytyniak	Mauran Manogaran	Angela Wiggins
Tine Elgsaether	Vincent Manzenberger	Hermonie Xie
Emily Evangelista	Taryn McKenzie-Mohr	Tina Xu
Vera Gavrilova	Nick McLean	Serene Yeung
Allison Gibbons	Tobias McVey	
Igor Gontcharov	Vitaly Nagornov	

### Analysts at the National Research University Higher School of Economics International Organisations Research Institute (Moscow)

Irina Grechukhina	Mark Rakhmangulov
Vitaly Nagornov	Yuriy Zaytsev